

## Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Rezone and Recla	ssify Part 22	Homestead Street and Par	t 1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay
Proposal Summa	2(a)Residential an	d to Environ	mental 7(a), rezone a portic	Street from zone 6(a) to zone on of No 1 Diemars Road, from 6(a)
<b>BB M</b>				ation of that land remaining as 6(a)
PP Number :	PP_2013_PORTS_	004_00	Dop File No :	13/10428
roposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	18-Jun-2013 ed :		LGA covered :	Port Stephens
Region :	Hunter		RPA :	Port Stephens Council
State Electorate	: PORT STEPHENS		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details	S			
Street :	22 Homestead Street			
Suburb :	Salamander Bay	City :	Port Stephens	Postcode :
Land Parcel :	Pt Lot 598 DP 27382			
Street :	1 Diemars Road			
Suburb :	Salamander Bay	City :	Port Stephens	Postcode
Land Parcel :	Pt Lot 51 DP 803471			
DoP Planning	Officer Contact Detai	s		
Contact Name :	Ken Phelan			
Contact Number	: <b>0249042705</b>			
Contact Email :	ken.phelan@planni	ng.nsw.gov.	au	
RPA Contact I	Details			
Contact Name :	Sarah Connell			
Contact Number	: <b>0249800462</b>			
Contact Email :	sarah.connell@por	tstephens.ns	sw.gov.au	
DoP Project M	anager Contact Detai	ls		
Contact Name :				
Contact Number				
Contact Email :				

# Rezone and Reclassify Part 22 Homestead Street and Part 1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay

.

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	4.30	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	36	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	36
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		9
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Calculation of lot-yield: Total PP area: 4.287ha. (curre Proposed 2(a) area: 3.1ha. Balance- proposed 7(a) area:		
	Proposed reclassification area	a: 0.54ha.(within proposed 2(a)	zone)
	Approx. Lots: 36 @ 500m2/ lot *NB-		
	<ol> <li>this housing-yield relies on</li> <li>allowance has been made f</li> </ol>	Koala Habitat encroachment or access road land-take in cal	culating lot and dwelling yield
External Supporting Notes :	rezone Lot 598 DP 27382, 22 F Environmental 7(a), and Recla	nber 2011 as landowners to sub lomestead Street, Salamander assify Part Lot 51 DP 803471 fro Recreation to 2(a) Residential	Bay to Residential 2(a) and
	Council provided copies of:		
	1) Draft Open Space Strategy	22-7-10, PSSC	
	Facilities	ng the Provision of Council's C	
	Port Stephens Council Draft v	2.0, January, 2013, AEC Group,	, consultants

# Rezone and Reclassify Part 22 Homestead Street and Part 1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay

	objectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of th	e objectives provided? Yes
Comment :	The purpose of the proposal is to:
	(i) rezone Part Lot 598 DP 27382 (22 Homestead Street, Salamander Bay) and Part Lot 51 DP 803471 (1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay) from public recreation to residential;
	(ii) rezone Part Lot 598 DP 27382 (22 Homestead Street, Salamander Bay) from public recreation to environmental protection; and,
	(iii) reclassify Part Lot 51 DP 803471 (1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay) from community to operational land. The remainder of this Lot is already classified as operational land.
Explanation of p	rovisions provided - s55(2)(b)
Is an explanation o	f provisions provided? Yes
Comment :	The proposal provides an explanation as to how it will amend both the current and draft Port Stephens LEP. Due to timing it is considered that the PP will proceed as an amendment to Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013
	Amend Zoning Map (LZN_0058) for Part Lot 598 DP 27382 (22 Homestead Street, Salamander Bay) and Part Lot 51 DP 803471 (1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay): from Zone RE1 Public Recreation to Zone R2 Low Density Residential
	Amend Zoning Map (LZN_0058) for Part Lot 598 DP 27382 (22 Homestead Street, Salamander Bay): from Zone REI Public Recreation to Zone E2 Environmental Conservation.
	Lot Size Map
	Amend the Lot Size Map (LSZ-OOSB) to minimum lot size of 500m2 for all land rezoned to Zone R2 Low Density Residential.
	Amend the Lot Size Map (LSZ_005B) to minimum lot size of 40 hectares for all land rezoned to Zone E2 Environmental Conservation.
	Height of Buildings Map
	Amend the Height of Buildings Map (HOB_0058) to maximum building height 9.0 metres for all land rezoned to Zone R2 Low Density Residential.
	Land Reclassification
	Insert the property description of Part Lot 51 DP 803471 into Schedule 4 (Land Reclassification) of the Port Stephens LEP identifying it as "operational land".
	Land Reclassification
	Include the property descriptions in Part 2, Schedule 4 in the Port Stephens Local Environmental Plan 2013

Rezone and Reclassify Part 22 Homestead Street and Part 1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.4 Oyster Aquaculture
- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.2 Coastal Protection
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 60—Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

Bushfire protection measures clearly would need to be substantial however RFS has not yet been involved. Consultation is conditioned as per the requirements of S.117 Direction 4.4

About 50% of preferred koala habitat within the PP area would be significantly impacted or lost.

Consultation with Office of Environment and Heritage is conditioned as per the requirements of S.117 Direction 1.2 and SEPP 44.

Site flooding characteristics combined with urban development and land management may impact water quality in the nearby bay and its priority oyster leases. Consultation with Primary Industries (Fisheries) is conditioned as per the requirements of S.117 Direction 1.4.

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council advises: Exhibition will accord with Environmental Planning and Assessment and Local Government Acts and regulations as well as Sections 5.5.2 and 5.5.3 of the

### Rezone and Reclassify Part 22 Homestead Street and Part 1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay

Department of Planning and Infrastructure guideline "A guide to preparing Local Environmental Plans" (April, 2013).

The LEP Practice Note PN 09-003 "Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan" and the Best Practice Guideline for LEPs and Council Land will also be exhibited.

Public notification of the exhibition will invite submissions, and a public hearing will be held. Notices of public hearing will be in a local newspaper and letters to each respondent, at least 21 days before the hearing and after the public exhibition has closed to give each respondent 21 days notice.

Exhibition will be at least 28 days or as per Gateway Determination. It will include hard copy exhibition material at a local venue, Council libraries, the Council administration building and files for internet download.

After exhibition, submissions and public hearing outcomes will be assessed, and a recommendation made to Council.

#### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date : August 2013

Comments in	The draft comprehensive Port Stephens LEP 2012 (PS LEP 2012) received Gateway
relation to Principal	Determination on 17 July, 2012. The PS LEP 2012 was publicly exhibited and has been
LEP :	submitted to the Department for review and finalisation.

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	Council states:
	The land does not meet the Council's criteria for open space and is stated to be in a well-supplied area that exceeds council's ratio. There is a play area within 400m.
	It is noted that the site is one of a group of some 20 holdings identified in council's review of its recreational land as being surplus to requirement and suitable for some form of development; in this case partial development for housing. The site therefore represents an opportunity for council to pursue its residential target at the same time as generating income from a property sale.

Consistency with	Lower Hunter Regional Strategy:
strategic planning	The proposal is generally consistent, or justifiably inconsistent, with the Lower Hunter
framework :	Regional Strategy, SEPP's and Section 117 Directions as below except for Koala Habitat
	Protection, Planning for Bushfire Protection, and Oyster Aquaculture.
	The proposal is consistent with the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy's (LHRS) policies that
	encourage residential infill development and increased housing choice.
	The proposal is not contrary to the Lower Hunter Conservation Plan at the strategic level and falls outside of the Watagans to Stockton Bight Green Corridor.
	Community Strategic Plan:
	Council's Integrated Strategic Plan (Port Stephens 2022) states that Council should
	provide for a range of lot sizes and housing types to respond to demographic needs and
	affordability. Further, that Council provide a diverse range of fit-for-purpose, quality
	recreational assets which are safe and highly accessible - balanced with the ability to
	maintain these on a financially sustainable basis.
	State Environmental Planning Policies:
	SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
	Council states that 'The proposal potentially facilitates increased development on land to
	which the SEPP applies, and accordingly has the potential to increase the supply of
	affordable housing'. The proposal is consistent with this SEPP.
	SEPP 44 Koala Habitat Protection
	Port Stephens Comprehensive Koala Plan of Management (PSCKP) shows about 50% of
	the site area as core and supplementary Koala Habitat. The scale of PSCKP mapping limits
	assessment so more detailed work is required.
	The PP does not demonstrate how the land could be developed whilst retaining and
	appropriately managing the Koala habitat areas. The proposal is therefore inconsistent
	with this SEPP. Clause 15 of the SEPP requires that Council give consideration to the
	preparation of a development control plan for land adjoining core koala habitat.
	Demonstration of the feasibility of development along with Koala habitat management is
	conditioned for public exhibition.
	SEPP 55 Remediation of Land
	The land is at the bottom of a catchment with site topography that periodically impounds
	runoff and may function as a natural evaporation pond with the potential to concentrate
	contaminants (see also S117 Direction 1.4 Oyster Aquaculture).
	The land adjoins a former quarry site with potential for contamination by informal use of
	the land or runoff entering this site. Denuded areas of the quarry site to the west have
	potential to generate particulates/ dust over the subject land. The potential contamination
	by runoff and potential dust needs to be addressed in consultation with OEH and Primary
	Industries (Fisheries)prior to exhibition as conditioned.
	SEPP (Housing for Seniors and People with a Disability) 2004
	The proposal potentially facilitates development on land where housing for seniors and
	people with a disability may be developed. The proposal is consistent with this SEPP.
	SEPP (Infrastructure) The proposal is consistent with this SEPP
	The proposal is consistent with this SEPP.

## Rezone and Reclassify Part 22 Homestead Street and Part 1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay

SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 The proposal potentially facilitates development on land to which the Exempt and Complying Development Code may be applied. The proposal at this stage is consistent with the SEPP. SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 The proposal is consistent with the SEPP at this stage. SEPP 71 (Coastal Protection) The south-west corner of the site is 91m from mean high water mark on an extensive shallow tidal inlet of Port Stephens that supports extensive oyster leases. Considerations applying under this SEPP are grouped and assessed as follows: Coastal Access: While not on coastal foreshore, the proposal is on land adjacent to foreshore so the main consideration is access to coastal foreshore. Lot 803471 is a Council-owned lot between Lot 598, proposed for rezoning to 2(a) Residential, and foreshore land. Only a small part of Lot 51 is proposed for reclassification however the balance presents a clear opportunity to improve coastal access by pedestrians and cyclists as an integral part of development planning via S.94 or Voluntary Planning Agreement. It is noted from aerial images that vehicles associated with caravanning and camping are entering the area of Preferred Koala Habitat which Council seeks to reclassify to operational land. Management of this is conditioned to be considered in exhibition material (see SEPP 44 above). Cultural Heritage Values: Council's searches of the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) database of Aboriginal Heritage, of the Port Stephens LEP heritage schedules and the OEH State Heritage Register yielded no known heritage items or places on or near the land. Biodiversity and Coastal Processes: The proposal zone boundary encroaches across half of the Swamp Sclerophyll Forest (an Endangered Ecological Community) across the southern portion of the site and into a similar proportion of the Spotted Gum-Grey Ironbark Open Forest in the western portion of the site. The planning proposal does not demonstrate how significant vegetation is to be retained and managed as part of the residential development. Similarly the appropriate retention and management of Spotted Gum- Ironbark and of Blackbutt- Smooth Barked Apple complex within the area proposed for reclassification to operational land is not demonstrated. The proposal is therefore inconsistent with SEPP 71. The need to address these matters with OEH is conditioned for public exhibition. The Urban Efficiency clause encourages compact towns and cities and efficient water and energy usage. The site adjoins land having all urban utilities. The site is 1.7km/3mins drive to Soldiers Point shopping centre and 4.3km/7mins drive from Salamander Bay shopping centre. Soldiers Point Primary School is 2.4km/ 4mins drive away and Tomaree High School is 4.6km/ 6mins drive. Public transport (bus stops) is 0.5km away on Soldiers Point Road via low-traffic residential streets and Radburn-style laneways. Employment opportunities at Nelson Bay and Tomago smelter/ industrial area are within a 50 minute drive. The proposal is consistent with this clause of SEPP 71. Section 117 Directions: Section 117 Direction 1.4 Oyster Aquaculture The adjacent bay supports extensive areas of Priority Oyster Aquaculture Leases as shown on mapping in NSW Oyster Industry Sustainable Aquaculture Strategy, 2006.

### Rezone and Reclassify Part 22 Homestead Street and Part 1 Diemars Road, Salamander Bay

Site topography indicates a potential for the site to impound water during moderate storms but then flush-out into the bay as the natural detention basin overtops in more intense storms. This could result in a contaminant 'spike' in the bay hosting the oyster leases.

Accordingly consultation with Primary Industries (fisheries) is conditioned. Any necessary water quality management measures can then be resolved post exhibition. Oyster leaseholders should be notified of the proposed residential development of this land and afforded opportunity to comment.

Consistency or otherwise with this direction will be informed by this consultation.

Section 117 Direction 2.2 Coastal Protection Aspects of coastal vegetation, scenery, habitat and public access are considered under SEPP Coastal Protection above.

Section 117 Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation There is no known Aboriginal or non-Aboriginal heritage items, objects or places on the land.

The proposal is consistent with the Direction.

Section 117 Direction 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas The proposal does not seek to designate a recreational vehicle area.

Section 117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones

The proposal facilitates additional housing and potentially greater housing choice. It would tap into nearby water, sewer, power and transport and road networks. However the proposal would likely have significant environmental impacts on vegetation, Koala Habitat and impact areas currently subject to flooding and increasingly so in the future.

Section 117 Direction 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

Bus stops are within 400m of the site and streets between the site and bus stops are accessible with laneways between culs-de-sac. There is a half-hourly peak-period bus service (Port Stephens Coaches) to Newcastle with one hour journey time to the CBD. Pedestrian, cyclist and bus facilities are covered in Council's DCP B1 and B3. The proposal is consistent with this Direction.

Section 117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils

The south-eastern third of the subject site is Category 1- works more than 2m below natural ground surface, the balance being Category 2- works below ground surface. Council undertakes to require appropriate measures to be taken at a development application stage. Council's acid sulphate soils management measures for the site should be placed on public exhibition with the planning proposal. With this the proposal is consistent with this Direction.

Section 117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land

The southern two-thirds of the rectangular area proposed to be rezoned 2a Residential is flood prone land as per PSSC flood mapping. Site topography suggests slow acting, low depth and low velocity flooding. Being low-lying, sea level rise may increase flood risk. A flood

assessment is required prior to any development of the site. This is conditioned. Consistency or otherwise will be determined following completion of a flood study.

Section 117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

The area proposed to be rezoned to 2a Residential is bushfire classified as 100m and 30m buffer vegetation corresponding to the mainly cleared north-eastern 50% of land area. This

	area has Vegetation Category 1 along its south and west flanks corresponding to the
	swamp mahogany sclerophyll coastal forest area/ preferred koala habitat.
	As the land is bushfire prone Council must consult the RFS prior to public exhibition unde
	this Direction. Consistency or otherwise with this direction will be informed following this
	consultation.
	Section 117 Direction 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	The proposal is consistent with the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy.
	Section 117 Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	The Planning Proposal seeks to remove a reservation of land for public purposes and
	reclassify community land to operational land. Should this reclassification be supported,
	the public reserve status of Part Lot 51 would be no longer appropriate and would be
	revoked.
	In view of the regular use of the community land as a caravan park, Council was requested
	to indicate how it plans to use and manage this land particularly given the environmental zoning of some parts.
	Under clause 4 of this Direction Council cannot alter the reservation of land for a public
	purpose without the approval of the Director-General. It is considered appropriate that the Director-Generals' decision on this issue be made following public exhibition.
Environmental social	Environmental Impacts
economic impacts :	Anticipated impacts are:
	• Loss of Preferred Koala Habitat
	Bushfire hazard exposure
	Flood hazard exposure
	Acid Sulphate soil exposure
	<ul> <li>Airborne dust exposure from the open quarry to the west</li> </ul>
	Potential exposure to soil contamination
	Loss of native vegetation contiguous with larger areas offsite which form a corridor
	around the south and east shores of the bay west of Soldiers Point. • Increased urban runoff into the bay which hosts extensive oyster leases.
	Social Impacts
	The site has capacity to accommodate up to 36 houses on 500m2 lots as per the Port
	Stephens LEP, 2013 minimum lot size. The proposed zone would also allow housing for
	aged persons and persons with a disability.
	The main negative social impact is the loss of land for public recreation even though this
	peninsula provides access to a range of beaches. Council's adoption of an open space
	review underpins the rationalisation of public open space across the Shire. A November 2008 open space review report to Council states the social imapct as:
	Well used trails and interpretive walks maintained by Tidy Towns groups'
	(P.18, Manager Integrated Planning Report to Council meeting 25 Nov., 2008,
	ref.:PSC2007-2685)
	Accordingly the open space review of 2007 and update report of 2010 should be exhibited with the planning proposal as supporting evidence.
	Economic Impacts
	Economic impacts Residential development of the land would generate jobs during construction and
	longer-term maintenance jobs.
	Employment concentrations within typical commuting distances are at:
	•Nelson Bay: 8.6km/ 12mins drive.
	•Williamtown Airport/ RAAF Base: 30.6km/29mins drive
	<ul> <li>Tomago smelter and industries: 43km/ 37 mins drive</li> </ul>

3

÷

	Transport and Infras		e and water quality study is	conditioned.	e
	provision exists and coastal foreshore tr Direction 2.2 Coasta	vailable near d could be er acks should al Protection ly peak-time	bus service (Port Stephens	ential proposal. Access link sign pursuant to Section 11	sto 17
	Governance No state investment A clear statement by management of the park use within Koa Council anticipates	t in infrastrue y Council is 0.54ha. recla ala Habitat. a 12 month f	cture is implied by the prop required at exhibition about assification area which has timeframe to finalise the pro rted given the necessary sit	the proposed future use and history of informal carava posal and has submitted a	in
ssessment Process	6				
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months		Delegation :	DDG	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environme NSW Department of NSW Rural Fire Ser	f Primary Ind	age lustries - Fishing and Aquad	ulture	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Yes			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	): <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
Flora Fauna Bushfire Flooding Air Quality If Other, provide reasons					
		on, and man	agement of, koala habitat		
Identify any internal cons					
No internal consultation					

÷

3

÷

12

Rezone and Reclassify Part 22 Homestead Street and Part 1 Diemars Road, Salan	nander
Bay	

ocuments			
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
PP.pdf		Proposal	No
anning Team Recomr	nendation		
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage	: Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.4 Oyster Aquaculture		
	2.1 Environment Protection Zon	es	
062	2.2 Coastal Protection		
	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas		
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tr	ansport	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		
	4.3 Flood Prone Land		
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect		
	5.1 Implementation of Regional		
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public P	urposes	
Additional Information :	1) Council clarify within the exh	ibited material that the reclassification	of the 0.54ha.
		erational may remove that land from pu	
	therefore remove any opportuni	ity for recreational use. Copies of Cour	ncil's 2007 'Open
	Space Consolidation Review' ar	nd of the update report of 2010 should	also be placed on
	exhibition with the Planning Pro	oposal.	
	2) Council consult NSW Office of	of Environment and Heritage regarding	habitat
	management under the proposa	al and any necessary amendments bein	ng made to the
	proposal prior to exhibition suc	h that inconsistency with 2.1 Environn	nental Protection
	Zones and with SEPP 44, in res	pect of impacts on preferred koala hab	itat, be resolved
.e.	prior to public exhibition.		
	3) Council consult NSW Rural F	ire Service as per S.117 Direction 4.4 F	Planning for
		cessary amendments being made to th	
	exhibition.		
	4) Council consult NSW Primar	y Industries (Fisheries) in respect of th	e protection of
	oyster leases in the nearby bay	under S.117 Direction 1.4 Oyster aqua	culture. Oyster
	leaseholders be notified of the	proposed residential development of t	nis land as well as
	exhibition details so as to affor	d them opportunity to comment.	
2	5) Council publicly exhibit the r	planning proposal for 28 days and final	ise the PP within
	twelve months.		
	6) Council exhibit a copy of Pla	nning Practice Note PN 09–003, 12 Jun	e 2009 with the
	Planning Proposal	5	
	7) Council provide details of the	e reclassification planning proposal an	d dates of the
	public exhibition period to adjo		
	8) Council are not given delege	tions for the proposal because the sub	iect land is counci
	owned and it may require cons		geot land 19 coullet
	0) That Councillo asid subsets	soils management measures for the si	ite he exhibited wit
	9) That Council's acid sulphate the planning proposal.	sons management measures for the Si	te de cambileu Wil
	me planning proposal.		
	10) That inconsistency with S1 <sup>4</sup>	17 Directions 4.3 Flood Prone Land, 4.4	Planning for
		serving land for Public Purposes be re-	

	prior to exhibition.
	11) Council map the subject land as an Urban Release Area.
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is in part for the reclassification of land currently zoned 6a Open Space. The land is located within remnant vegetation classified as preferred koala habitat. The reclassification itself does not prevent these values from being retained, however Council should be advised that the future use of the 6(a)land, and any future rezoning proposal, will need to demonstrate how these values have been assessed and if appropriate
	protected.
Signature:	protected.

2

8 F

Ξ.